arXiv:0706.0817v1 [math.PR] 6 Jun 2007

MEASURE-VALUED STOCHASTIC RECURRENCES AND THE STABILITY OF QUEUES

P. MOYAL

Abstract. In this paper we present a stability criterion for finite measure-valued stochastic recursions, generalizing Loynes's Theorem to spaces of measures. This result provides conditions for the reach of a "total stationary state" for the queue with an infinity of servers and the single-server SRPT queue. Indeed, we give in both cases a condition of existence of a stationary measure-valued recursive sequence characterizing the queueing system exhaustively.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we address the question of stationarity in the general ergodic framework for queues, that admits a representation by a measure-valued stochastically recursive sequence (SRS). We axamine the good topological conditions for Loynes' backward recurrence scheme to handle the question of existence of a stationary version (in the sense Loynes [2] puts it) for such an SRS. After some preliminaries, we prove that these conditions (essentially, that all increasing sequence in some sense converges) are met when the space of finite non-negative measures is endowed with the weak topology and the "strong" partial ordering of Stoyan.

Then, Loynes' construction allows one to prove the "total" stability of a given system whenever a measure valued SRS describing it exhaustively enjoys some kind of monotonicity. Hereafter we follow this construction for two queueing systems : the queue with an infinity of servers and the *Shortest Remaining Processing Time* (SRPT) single server queue. Some applications of these results, such as weak convergence of the congestion and workload sequences of these queues (which are projections of the measure-valued SRS for adequate test functions), are given.

This paper is organized as follows. After some preliminaries in section 2, we state our Loynes' type theorem for measure-valued SRS in section 3. In section 4 we study a particular real-valued stochastic recursion, that will be usefull in the sequel. In section 5 (respectively, section 6), we study the stability of the queue with an infinity of servers (resp., of the SRPT queue) through its measure-valued representation.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ and $\mathcal{C}_b$ denote respectively the set of positive finite measures on $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ and the set of bounded continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Equipped with the *weak topology* $\sigma\left(\mathbf{M}_f^+, \mathcal{C}_b\right)$, $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ is Polish (see [1]). Let ζ be the zero measure on

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary : 60F17, Secondary : 60K25 and 60B12.

Key words and phrases. measure-valued processes, stochastic recurrences, backwards scheme.

$\mathbb{R}$ (i.e., such that $\zeta(\mathfrak{B}) = 0$ for any Borel set $\mathfrak{B}$ on $\mathbb{R}$). For any $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_f^+$ and any measurable $f\ : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we classically write $\langle \mu, f\rangle := \int f\, d\mu$. We denote $\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{M}_f^+\right)$, the space of continuous mappings from $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ into itself. Let the set $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ be endowed with the *increasing partial integral order* $\preceq$: for any two $\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{M}_f^+$, $\mu \preceq \nu$ if $\langle \mu, f\rangle \leq \langle \nu, f\rangle$ for any measurable non-decreasing function f such that these integrals exist. Remark, that $\zeta \preceq \mu$ for any $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_f^+$. Let us denote for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and any measurable $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\tau_y f(.) = f(. - y)\mathbf{1}_{\{.>y\}}$. Then, for any $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_f^+$, $\tau_y\mu$ denotes the only element of $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ s.t. $\langle \tau_y \mu, f\rangle = \langle \mu, \tau_y f\rangle$.

Let now $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbf{M}_f^+$ be the subset of finite counting measures on $\mathbb{R}_+^*$. Any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}\backslash\{\zeta\}$ reads $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \delta_{\alpha_i(\mu)}$, where δ_x is the Dirac measure at $x \in \mathbb{R}+$ and $\alpha_1(\mu) < \alpha_2(\mu) < ... < \alpha_{\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)}(\mu)$. Then, $\tau_y(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \delta_{\alpha_i(\mu)-y}\mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha_i(\mu)>y\}}$ and for any two $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}\backslash\{\zeta\}$, $\mu \preceq \nu$ whenever

$$\begin{cases} (i) & \mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*) \leq \nu(\mathbb{R}_+^*), \\ \\ (ii) & \text{for all } i = 0, ..., \mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*) - 1, \alpha_{\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)-i}(\mu) \leq \alpha_{\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)-i}(\nu). \end{cases}$$

Let us finally denote for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}\backslash\{\zeta\}$, $m(\mu) = \alpha_1(\mu)$ and $M(\mu) = \alpha_{\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)}(\mu)$, the smallest and the largest atom of μ, respectively.

Lemma 1. *Any sequence of* $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ *that is* $\preceq$*-increasing and bounded above converges.*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a $\preceq$-increasing sequence of $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ that is bounded above by $\mu \in \mathbf{M}_f^+$. Then, as easily seen the sequence of non-increasing real functions $\{\mu_n([., \infty))\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ tends pointwise, and hence (this is Diniz Theorem), uniformly to a non-increasing real function f that is right continuous and has a coutable number of discontinuities. Moreover $f(0) \leq \mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*) < \infty$, and we can fully characterize a measure $\mu^* \in \mathbf{M}_f^+$ setting $\mu^*((0, x)) = f(0) - f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. In particular, $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}_+^*} |\mu^n((0, x)) - \mu^*((0, x))| \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, hence μ^n tends to μ^* in total variation, which completes the proof. □

3. STATIONARITY OF MEASURE-VALUED STOCHASTIC RECURSIONS

Consider a probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}^0\right)$, embedded with the measurable bijective flow θ (denote θ^{-1}, its measurable inverse). Suppose that $\mathbf{P}^0$ is stationary and ergodic under θ, *i.e.* for all $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbf{P}^0\left[\theta^{-1}\mathfrak{A}\right] = \mathbf{P}^0[\mathfrak{A}]$ and $\theta\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}$ implies $\mathbf{P}^0[\mathfrak{A}] = 0$ or 1. Note that according to these axioms, all θ-contracting event (such that $\mathbf{P}^0\left[\mathfrak{A}^c \cap \theta^{-1}\mathfrak{A}\right] = 0$) is θ-invariant. We denote for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\theta^n = \theta \circ \theta \circ ... \circ \theta$ and $\theta^{-n} = \theta^{-1} \circ \theta^{-1} \circ ... \circ \theta^{-1}$, and say that two sequences of r.v. couple when there exists a $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. finite rank N such that they coincide for all $n \geq N$.

Let Φ be a $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{M}_f^+)$-valued r.v., κ be an $\mathbf{M}_f^+$-valued random variable (r.v. for short), and define the following sequence of $\mathbf{M}_f^+$-valued r.v..

$$\begin{cases} \mu_0^\kappa = & \kappa, \\ \mu_n^\kappa = & \Phi \circ \theta^n\left(\mu_n^\kappa\right),\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

The existence of a stationary (i.e., compatible with θ) version of $\{\mu_n^\kappa\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ amounts to that of a solution of the following equation in the space of $\mathbf{M}_f^+$-valued r.v..

$$\kappa \circ \theta = \Phi(\kappa). \tag{1}$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 1. *The equation (1) admits a solution whenever* Φ *is a.s.* $\preceq$*-non decreasing and the sequence* $\left\{\mu_n^\zeta \circ \theta^{-n}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is a.s.* $\preceq$*-bounded.*

Proof. With Lemma 1 in hands, we can construct a solution to (1) following the classical Loynes's backwards scheme ([2], [4] p.107): the sequence $\left\{\mu_n^\zeta \circ \theta^{-n}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. non decreasing and bounded, and thus converges a.s. to the $\preceq$-minimal solution of (1), which belongs $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. to $\mathbf{M}_f^+$. ☐

4. STUDY OF A REAL VALUED STOCHASTIC RECURSION

Under the foregoing definitions and assumptions, let $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta > 0$ be two $\mathbb{R}+$-valued integrable random variables (r.v. for short), and the sequences of r.v. $\{\alpha_n, \beta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{\alpha \circ \theta^n, \beta \circ \theta^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Let Z be an a.s. finite $\mathbb{R}+$-valued r.v.. Consider the following stochastically recursive sequence :

$$\textbf{(E)} \begin{cases} Y_0^Z &= Z, \\ Y_{n+1}^Z &= \left[\max\left\{Y_n^Z, \alpha_n\right\} - \beta_n\right]^+ \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

In this section we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of a stationary solution $\left\{Y_n^Y\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to the recursion **(E)**, in that we are looking for an a.s. finite r.v. Y such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Y_n^Y = Y \circ \theta^n.$$

In particular, Y would be a solution to the equation

$$Y \circ \theta = \left[\max\{Y, \alpha\} - \beta\right]^+. \tag{2}$$

We have the following:

Theorem 2. *There exists an only* $\mathbf{P}^0$*-a.s. finite solution* Y *of (2), given by*

$$Y := \left[\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}^*}\left(\alpha_{-j} - \sum_{i=1}^{j}\beta_{-i}\right)\right]^+. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, for all $\mathbf{P}^0$*-a.s. finite and nonnegative r.v.* Z*, the sequence* $\left\{Y_n^Z\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *couples with* $\{Y \circ \theta^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$*.*

Proof. Existence and finiteness

Equation (2) can be handled by Loynes's construction ([2]) since the functional $\left[\max\{., \alpha\} - \beta\right]^+ \circ \theta^{-1}$ is $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. continuous and nondecreasing. Loynes's sequence, defined by $\left\{Y_n^0 \circ \theta^{-n}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is given for all $n \geq 1$ by

$$M_n = \left[\max_{j=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{-j} - \sum_{i=1}^{j}\beta_{-i}\right)\right]^+ \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, a solution to (2) is given by

$$M_\infty := \lim_{n\to\infty} M_n = \left[\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}^*}\left(\alpha_{-j} - \sum_{i=1}^{j}\beta_{-i}\right)\right]^+,$$

and for any other solution Z,

$$\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z \geq M_\infty\right] = 1. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, Birkhoff's ergodic theorem clearly entails that M_∞ is $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. finite.

Uniqueness
We have

$$\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z \leq \alpha\right] > 0. \tag{5}$$

Indeed, if $\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z = 0\right] > 0$, there is nothing to prove. Now if $\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z = 0\right] = 0$, $\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z \leq \alpha\right] = 0$ entails that on a $\mathbf{P}^0$-almost sure event,

$$Z \circ \theta > 0 \Leftrightarrow \left[\max\left\{Z, \alpha\right\} - \beta\right]^+ > 0 \Leftrightarrow Z \circ \theta = \max\left\{Z, \alpha\right\} - \beta = Z - \beta.$$

We thus have

$$\mathbf{E}^0\left[Z \circ \theta - Z\right] = -\mathbf{E}^0\left[\beta\right] < 0,$$

which is absurd in view of the ergodic lemma ([4], Lemma 2.2.1.). Thus (5) is verified. Since

$$\left\{Z \leq \alpha\right\} = \left\{Z \circ \theta = \left[\alpha - \beta\right]^+\right\} \subseteq \left\{Z \circ \theta \leq M_\infty \circ \theta\right\},$$

(5) implies that

$$\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z \leq M_\infty\right] = \mathbf{P}^0\left[Z \circ \theta \leq M_\infty \circ \theta\right] > 0. \tag{6}$$

But on $\left\{Z \leq M_\infty\right\}$,

$$Z \circ \theta = \left[\max\left\{Z, \alpha\right\} - \beta\right]^+ \leq \left[\max\left\{M_\infty, \alpha\right\} - \beta\right]^+ = M_\infty \circ \theta,$$

which means that the event $\left\{Z \leq M_\infty\right\}$ is θ-contracting. Thus, with (6),

$$\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z \leq M_\infty\right] = 1,$$

and with (4),

$$\mathbf{P}^0\left[Z = M_\infty\right] = 1.$$

Coupling
The developped form of Y_n^0 is given for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$Y_n^0 = \left[\max_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_j - \sum_{i=j}^{n-1}\beta_i\right)\right]^+, \tag{7}$$

with the conventions $\max_{j=0}^{-1} . = 0$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{-1} . = 0$. Let $0 \leq Z < \infty$, $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s..We have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Y_n^Z = \max\left\{Z - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\beta_i, Y_n^0\right\}, \tag{8}$$

as easily seen by induction. Indeed,

$$Y_0^Z = Z = \max\left\{Z, 0\right\},$$

and if (8) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Y_{n+1}^Z = \left[\max \left\{ Z - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i, \max_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\alpha_j - \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} \beta_i \right), \alpha_n \right\} - \beta_n \right]^+ \\ = \max \left\{ Z - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \beta_i, Y_{n+1}^0 \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\{ Y_n^Z \neq Y_n^0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \left\{ Y_n^Z > Y_n^0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ = \left\{ Y_n^Z = Z - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

But the latter event is of probability zero since it contradicts

$$\left\{ \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(Z - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i \right) = -\mathbf{E}^0 [\beta] < 0 \right\},$$

which is $\mathbf{P}^0$-almost sure from Birkhoff's theorem. Hence, since two sequences driven by the same recursive equation couple from the instant when they coincide for the first time, there exists a random indice

$$\tau^{Z,0} = \inf \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N}, Y_n^Z = Y_n^0 \text{ for all } n \geq k \right\} < \infty, \ \mathbf{P}^0\text{-a.s..}$$

This is true for any finite and nonnegative r.v. Z, and in particular for $Z = Y$. Thus, for all rank larger than $\tau^{Z,Y} := \max \left\{ \tau^{Z,0}, \tau^{Y,0} \right\} < \infty$, $\left\{ Y_n^Z \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{ Y_n^Y = Y \circ \theta^n \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ coincide: these two sequences couple. □

Corollary 1. *For all finite and nonnegative r.v. Z, there exists $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. an infinity of indices such that $Y_n^Z = 0$ if and only if :*

$$\mathbf{P}^0 \left[\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}^*} \left(\alpha_{-j} - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \beta_{-i} \right) \leq 0 \right] > 0, \tag{9}$$

Proof. In view of the previous coupling property,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ Y_i^Z = 0 \right\}} \\ = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\{ Y\circ\theta^i = 0 \}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau^{Z,Y}-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ Y_i^Z = 0 \right\}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau^{Z,Y}-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{ Y\circ\theta^i = 0 \}} \right) \\ = \mathbf{P}^0 [Y = 0], \ \mathbf{P}^0\text{-a.s..}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ Y_i^Z = 0 \right\}} = \infty, \ \mathbf{P}^0\text{-a.s.} \Longleftarrow \mathbf{P}^0 [Y = 0] > 0,$$

which is condition (9). Now if (9) does not hold, on a $\mathbf{P}^0$-almost sure event, the sequence $\left\{ Y_n^Z \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ coincides after a certain finite rank with the $\mathbb{R}+^*$-valued sequence $\left\{ Y \circ \theta^n \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and hence never reaches 0 after this instant. This event is θ_{T_1}-invariant, and thus $\mathbf{P}$-almost sure. □

5. Functional stability of the G/G/∞ queue

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}, \theta_t)$ be a probability space furnished with a bijective flow $(\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$, under which $\mathbf{P}$ is stationary and ergodic. Define on Ω the θ_t-compatible simple point process $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of points $... < T_{-2} < T_{-1} < T_0 \leq 0 < T_1 < T_2 < ...$, marked by a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Also denote for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi_n = T_{n+1} - T_n$, and suppose that the generic r.v. σ and ξ are integrable. Consider the G/G/∞ queue fed by $N(\sigma)$: there is an infinity of servers, thus e.g., the customer C_n arrived at time T_n is immediately attended and spend in the system a time equal to the duration σ_n of his service. Assume that C_n is present in the system at time t, i.e., $T_n \leq t < T_n + \sigma_n$. The *remaining processing time* (i.e., time before the service completion) of C_n at t is hence given by $T_n + \sigma_n - t$. The *profile* of the system at t is the collection of the remaining processing times of all the customers in the system at t, and can thus be represented by the following measure, which $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. belongs to $\mathcal{M}$.

$$\mu(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{T_n + \sigma_n - t} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_n \leq t < T_n + \sigma_n\}} = \begin{cases} \zeta & \text{if the system is empty at } t, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{X(t)} \delta_{\alpha_i(t)} & \text{if not,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 < m\left(\mu(t)\right) = \alpha_1(t) < \alpha_2(t) < ... < \alpha_{X(t)}(t) = M\left(\mu(t)\right)$ are the remaining service times of the $X(t)$ customers present in the system at t. Then $(\mu(t), t \geq 0)$ provides an exhaustive representation of the system in that it keeps track of all present customers at a given time. Note, that a diffusion approximation of a scaled sequence of such profile processes under Poissonian assumptions is presented in [5]. In particular, for any $t \geq 0$, the *congestion* $X(t)$ (i.e., the number of customers in the system at time t) and the *workload* $W(t)$ (i.e., the quantity of work in the system at time t, in time units) read

$$\begin{cases} X(t) & = \langle \mu(t), 1 \rangle, \\ W(t) & = \sum_{i=1}^{X(t)} \alpha_i(t) \delta_{\alpha_i(t)} = \langle \mu(t), I \rangle, \end{cases}$$

where I is the identity function. The processes $(\mu(t), t \geq 0)$, $(X(t), t \geq 0)$ and $(W(t), t \geq 0)$ have rcll paths and denoting for any $t \geq 0$, $\mu(t-) = \lim_{s \uparrow\uparrow t} \mu(s)$ (and accordingly, $X(t-)$ and $W(t-)$), we have for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mu(t) = \tau_{t - T_n}\left(\mu\left(T_n -\right) + \delta_{\sigma_n}\right), \; t \in [T_n, T_{n+1}), \; \mathbf{P} - \text{a.s.} \tag{10}$$

Let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}^0, \theta\right)$ be the Palm space of N. Denote $\theta := \theta_{T_1}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta^n := \theta \circ \theta \circ ... \circ \theta$. Denoting $\xi := \xi_0$ and $\sigma := \sigma_0$, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\xi_n := \xi \circ \theta^n$ and $\sigma_n := \sigma \circ \theta^n$. For all $\mathbf{M}_f^+$-valued r.v. κ, denote for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_n^\kappa = \mu\left(T_n -\right)$ provided that $\mu^\kappa(T_0 -) = \kappa$ (and accordingly, X_n^X and W_n^W for any integer-valued r.v. X and any real-valued r.v. W).

The problem of finding a stationary version of the process $\left(\mu(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ amounts to that of finding a sequence $\{\mu_n^\kappa\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ compatible with the discrete flow θ, which amounts in turn in view of (10) to finding a $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. finite random variable κ of $\mathbf{M}_f^+$ such that

$$\kappa \circ \theta = \tau_\xi\left(\kappa + \delta_\sigma\right). \tag{11}$$

We have the

Theorem 3. *The equation (1) admits a finite solution, given by*

$$\mu_\infty = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{\left(D_{-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \xi_{-j}\right)} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{D_{-i} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i} \xi_{-j}\right\}}.$$

Moreover, provided that

$$\mathbf{P}^0 \left[\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left(D_{-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \xi_{-j} \right) \leq 0 \right] > 0 \tag{12}$$

holds, this solution is unique.

Proof. It is a straightforward consequence of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem that

$$\mathbf{P}^0 \left[\mu_\infty \in \mathcal{M} \right] = \mathbf{P}^0 \left[\sharp \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N}^*, D_{-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \xi_{-j} \geq 0 \right\} < \infty \right] > 0.$$

This θ-contracting event is thus $\mathbf{P}^0$-almost sure. The first statement then follows from Theorem 1: the mapping $\mu \mapsto \tau_\xi \left(\mu + \delta_\sigma \right)$ is $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. continuous and non-decreasing, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu_n^\zeta \circ \theta^{-n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{\left(\sigma_{-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \xi_{-j}\right)} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\sigma_{-i} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{i} \xi_{-j}\right\}}.$$

Thus μ_∞ is the $\preceq$-smallest upper-bound of $\left\{ \mu_n^\zeta \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and hence the $\preceq$-minimal solution of (11). Let now κ be a solution of (11). It is easily seen that $\alpha_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa)$ satisfies the stationary equation

$$\alpha_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa) \circ \theta = \left[\max \left\{ \alpha_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa), \sigma \right\} - \xi \right]^+,$$

which is of the type (2). Hence (Theorem 2, uniqueness result), $\alpha_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa) = \alpha_{N(\mu_\infty)}\left(\mu_\infty\right)$. Since μ_∞ is a $\preceq$-minimal solution,

$$\left\{ \kappa = \mu_\infty \right\} \supseteq \left\{ \kappa = \mathbf{0} \right\} = \left\{ \alpha_{N(\kappa)}(\kappa) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ \alpha_{N(\mu_\infty)}\left(\mu_\infty\right) = 0 \right\},$$

which has a strictly positive probability whenever (12) holds. The uniqueness is proven in this case since $\left\{ \kappa = \mu_\infty \right\}$ is θ-contracting. □

Corollary 2. *The following convergences in distribution hold:*

(i) $\mu_n^{\mathbf{0}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mu_\infty$,
(ii) $X_n^0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mu_\infty \left(\mathbb{R}_+^* \right)$,
(iii) $W_n^0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \int x \, d\mu_\infty(x)$.

Proof. The convergence (i) classicaly follows from Loynes's construction, since for all bounded continuous function $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathbf{E}^0 \left[F \left(\mu_n^{\mathbf{0}} \right) \right] = \mathbf{E}^0 \left[F \left(\mu_n^{\mathbf{0}} \circ \theta^{-n} \right) \right] \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{E}^0 \left[F \left(\mu_\infty \right) \right].$$

Now, the mapping $\mu \mapsto \mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ provided that μ has no atom at 0, which is the case. This continuity argument holds for (iii) as well since the sequence $\left\{ W_n^0 \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight. We therefore can apply the Continuous Mapping Theorem (see [1]), to deduce (ii) and (iii) from (i). □

6. THE SRPT QUEUE

Under the settings and notations of the previous section, consider now a G/G/1 queue fed by the input $N(\sigma)$. We assume furthermore that the single server obeys the *Shortest Remaining Processing Time* (SRPT) service discipline, i.e., it always gives a preemptive priority to the customer having the least remaining service time. Let $(\nu(t), t \geq 0)$ denote the profile process of the queue, keeping track of the remaining service times of the customers in the system at current time. This process is governed by the following dynamics: for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\nu(t) = \Upsilon_{t-T_n}\left(\nu(T_n-) + \delta_{\sigma_n}\right),\ t \in [T_n, T_{n+1}),\ \mathbf{P} - \text{a.s.} \tag{13}$$

where for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\Upsilon_x(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \tau_{\left\{\left(x - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \alpha_k(\mu)\right)^+\right\}} \delta_{\alpha_i(\mu)},$$

setting $\sum_{k=1}^{0} . \equiv 0$. Hence there exists a stationary version of $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ provided that for some $\mathcal{M}$-valued r.v. κ,

$$\kappa \circ \theta = \Upsilon_\xi\left(\kappa + \delta_\sigma\right). \tag{14}$$

Theorem 4. *If* $\mathbf{E}^0[\sigma] < \mathbf{E}^0[\xi]$, *(14) admits a solution* $\nu_\infty \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathbf{P}^0$*-a.s.*

Proof. Denote as above $\{\nu_n^\kappa\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the profile sequence provided that $\nu_0^\kappa = \kappa$. The result is a consequence of Theorem 1 since the mapping $\mu \mapsto \Upsilon_\xi(\mu + \delta_\sigma)$ is $\mathbf{P}^0$-a.s. $\preceq$-non decreasing and continuous, and since $\left\{\nu_n^\zeta \circ \theta^{-n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\preceq$-unbounded only if the congestion sequence or the workload sequence initiated at 0 is $\leq$-unbounded, which is of probability 0 in view of Loynes' theorem for G/G/1 queues. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability Measures*, Wiley, New York (1968).

[2] R.M. Loynes *The stability of queues with non-independent interarrivals and service times*, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, **58** (1962), 497–520.

[3] P. Moyal *Stationarity of Pure Delay Systems and queues with impatient customers via Stochastic Recursions*, Preprint Arxiv math.PR/0603709 (2006).

[4] F. Baccelli and P. Brémaud *Elements of Queueing Theory*, 2nd ed., Springer (2002).

[5] L. Decreusefond and P. Moyal *A Functional Central Limit Theorem for the M/GI/∞ queue*, Preprint Arxiv math.PR/0608256 (2006).

CEREMADE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DAUPHINE, PARIS, 75016, FRANCE
E-mail address: `Pascal.Moyal@ceremade.dauphine.fr`